

AN OPTIMAL INEQUALITY BETWEEN SCALAR CURVATURE AND SPECTRUM OF THE LAPLACIAN

HÉLÈNE DAVAUX

ABSTRACT. For a Riemannian closed spin manifold and under some topological assumption (non-zero $\hat{A}$ -genus or enlargeability in the sense of Gromov-Lawson), we give an optimal upper bound for the infimum of the scalar curvature in terms of the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian. The main difficulty lies in the study of the odd-dimensional case. On the other hand, we study the equality case for the closed spin Riemannian manifolds with non-zero $\hat{A}$ -genus. This work improves an inequality which was first proved by K. Ono in 1988.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our result is in the framework of spin geometry, in which Dirac operators and index Theorems play an important role (see [LM89]).

Recall that, in the sixties, by applying the index Theorem to the Dirac operator, A. Lichnerowicz [Lic63] found a striking topological obstruction to the existence of Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature on a closed spin manifold. Namely, if the $\hat{A}$ -genus is not zero, such metrics do not exist.

In spite of its beauty, this result was somewhat frustrating: it works in dimension $4k$ only, and does not give anything for such manifolds as tori, whose $\hat{A}$ -genus vanishes. The second break-through, was made by M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson in the late seventies (see [GL80]). Their idea was to write down a Bochner-Weitzenböck formula, not for the classical Dirac operator as Lichnerowicz did, but for some «twisted» operator, defined on the tensor product of the spin bundle by a suitable vector bundle. Then, when there exist non homologically trivial such bundles with arbitrarily small curvature, they obtained an obstruction to the existence of positive scalar curvature metrics.

More specifically, their obstruction works for *enlargeable manifolds*:

Definition 1.1. *Let (V, g) be an n -dimensional closed oriented Riemannian manifold. The manifold V is said to be enlargeable if for each constant $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite Riemannian spin covering $\tilde{V}_\varepsilon$ of V such that $\tilde{V}_\varepsilon$ admits an ε -contracting map*

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* [2000] Primary: 58J50 ; Secondary: 35P15, 46L10, 58G11.

Key words and phrases. Dirac operator, scalar curvature, Kato inequality, index Theorem, spectral flow, eta invariant, Von Neuman algebra, spectrum of the Laplacian.

$f_\varepsilon : \tilde{V}_\varepsilon \rightarrow S^n$ (that is $\|f_{\varepsilon*}v\| \leq \varepsilon\|v\|$ for all tangent vectors v on $\tilde{V}_\varepsilon$) of non-zero degree.

Then for a closed spin manifold with non-zero $\hat{A}$ -genus (A. Lichnerowicz) or for a closed enlargeable manifold (Gromov-Lawson), we have the inequality

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq 0 \text{ for any metric}$$

where scal denotes the scalar curvature.

In [Ono88], K. Ono improved this inequality. Let us first introduce the total $\hat{A}$ -class, $\hat{A}(V)$, of a n -dimensional manifold V ([LM89, pp. 231-233]). Then the $\hat{A}$ -genus, denoted by $\hat{A}_V[V]$, is just the evaluation of the class $\hat{A}(V) \in H^{4*}(V, \mathbb{Q})$ on the fundamental homology class $[V] \in H_n(V)$ of the manifold. The greatest lower bound of the spectrum of the positive Laplacian will be denoted by λ_0 .

Theorem 1.2 (K. Ono). *Let (V, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold and $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$ be its universal covering.*

(a) If V is spin with non-zero $\hat{A}$ -genus then

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}).$$

(b) If V is enlargeable, then the same inequality holds.

K. Ono relates his result with the following result of R. Brooks [Bro81] which says that for a closed Riemannian manifold V , $\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) = 0$ if and only if the fundamental group of V is amenable. Here we call a group G amenable if it has a left invariant mean. Since $\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$ is always non-negative, the result is particularly interesting for a manifold of non amenable fundamental group. Indeed a closed spin manifold with non-amenable fundamental group which admits a metric of zero scalar curvature will have necessary zero $\hat{A}$ -genus.

In this paper we improve both statements **(a)** and **(b)** in Theorem 1.2 to optimal inequalities.

Theorem A. *Let (V, g) be a closed Riemannian spin manifold of dimension $n = 4k$ and $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$ be its universal covering. If V satisfies $\hat{A}_V[V] \neq 0$, then*

$$(1.1) \quad \inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}).$$

Theorem B. *Let (V, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n and $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$ be its universal covering. If V is enlargeable, then the same inequality holds.*

While K. Ono's proof is easily adapted in the even dimensional case (we mainly use the refined Kato inequality instead of the classical one), the odd dimensional case requires more work, to which is devoted the main part of this paper. We point out that, in the odd dimensional case, K. Ono considered $V \times S^1$ to bring the problem back to even dimension. But in our case, this method gives rise to the constant $(n+1)/n$ instead of $n/(n-1)$.

Now, let us make some remarks on the equality case. Since the bottom of the spectrum of the hyperbolic space equipped with the canonical metric is just $(n-1)^2/4$, the inequality (1.1) is optimal in the case of closed hyperbolic (enlargeable) manifolds. Furthermore, under the assumptions of Theorem A, we can make a careful study of the *equality case*:

Theorem C. *Let (V, g) be a closed Riemannian spin manifold of dimension $n = 4k$, with non-zero $\widehat{A}$ -genus. We have*

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) = -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}) \iff \text{scal}(V, g) = 0.$$

Recall that A. Futaki showed in [Fut93] that for a manifold (V, g) which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem A, if $\#\pi_1(V) |\widehat{A}_V[V]| > 2^{n/4}$ then V does not admit any metric with $\text{scal}(V, g) \geq 0$. Therefore in the equality case of Theorem A we have necessarily $\#\pi_1(V) |\widehat{A}_V[V]| \leq 2^{n/4}$.

When $\pi_1(V)$ is finite (and therefore when $\lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g})$ is zero) we can use $\lambda_1(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g})$ instead of $\lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g})$. This improvement is due to V. Mathai in [Mat92, Theo. 2.5] who was inspired from Meyer's Lemma revisited by P. Bérard in [Ber88, Appendix III].

2. OUTLINE OF THE PROOFS

Theorem 1.2 is originally due to K. Ono [Ono88]; however we prefer a shorter proof given by V. Mathai in [Mat92, Theo. 2.5] and it is his proof which we shall update.

First we introduce some notation: V will always be a spin closed Riemannian n -dimensional manifold and $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g})$ a Riemannian covering (the universal Riemannian covering unless otherwise stated). In the following the tilde is always used to denote the lift of the object under consideration to the covering $\widetilde{V}$. Since V is spin we can consider the spinor bundle $\mathcal{S}$ over V and the associated Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ acting on spinors over V . If Y is a Riemannian bundle over V with a Riemannian connection, we can construct the Clifford bundle $\mathcal{S} \otimes Y$ endowed with the associated twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^Y$ acting on sections of the bundle $\mathcal{S} \otimes Y$.

In the present article we will prove the inequality

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g})$$

with various assumptions on V . But the proofs are essentially similar and can be decomposed into three key points:

1. **We find a condition** under which there exists a Dirac operator $\widetilde{D}$ on $\widetilde{V}$ such that 0 belongs to the spectrum of this operator. In order to use the action of $\pi_1(V)$, we look for such an operator as a lifted operator of a Dirac operator D on V .

In the even dimensional case we shall use Atiyah's Γ -index Theorem (see [Ati76] and [Roe98, chap. 15]). For the odd dimensional case we need the spectral flow introduced in [APS76, §7] (see also [Gro96, §6 $\frac{11}{12}$] and [Nic99, §1]) and the Γ -index theorem developed by M. Ramachandran in [Ram93] for the

non local Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary problem on non compact manifold with boundary.

2. **From this, we prove an approximate inequality.** The Dirac operators under consideration are Dirac operators twisted by a bundle denoted Y . Such operators give rise to a Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (see [GL83, §2]). Then, the refined Kato inequality [CGH00] combined with a standard Rayleigh quotient argument gives the following inequality, which is nearly what we want:

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) + \alpha_n \|R^Y\|$$

where α_n is some universal constant and R^Y is the curvature of the bundle Y .

3. **We construct**, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence of bundles Y_ε on V such that 0 belongs to the spectrum of $\widetilde{D}^{Y_\varepsilon}$ (i.e. satisfies the condition found previously in the first step (1)) and $\|R^{Y_\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired inequality (1.1). Here the enlargeability of V is crucial.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we recall the Γ -index theory and achieve the first step of the proof, especially in the odd dimensional case. Section 4 is devoted to the second point (which does not depend on the dimension). In Section 5 we prove Theorem A and its equality case, Theorem C. In Section 6 we give the proof of Theorem B in the even and odd dimensional cases. In Section 7 we make some remarks and generalizations of our results.

3. USE OF Γ -INDEX

3.1. Atiyah's Γ -index Theorem. For this section we refer to the original article of M. Atiyah [Ati76] (see also [Roe98, chap. 15]). The general context is the following: $\tilde{V}$ denotes a Galois covering of V with Galois group Γ . The group Γ acts discontinuously on $\tilde{V}$ by deck transformations and $\tilde{V}/\Gamma = V$. If S is a Clifford bundle over V with Dirac operator D , let $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{D}$ denote their natural lifts on $\tilde{V}$. In the following Γ is almost always the fundamental group of V .

On the *a priori* non-compact manifold $\tilde{V}$, a central idea is to introduce an algebra of smoothing operators on $\tilde{V}$ that reflects the extra structure of the Γ -action.

Definition 3.1. *With notation as above, we define the set of Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators A on $L^2(\tilde{S})$ by the following conditions:*

- (i) *A is bounded on $L^2(\tilde{S})$.*
- (ii) *A is Γ -invariant; that is, for all $s \in L^2(\tilde{S})$, $A(\gamma s) = \gamma(As)$ where by definition $\gamma s(\tilde{x}) = s(\gamma^{-1}\tilde{x})$.*
- (iii) *A is represented by a smooth kernel $k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ so that $As(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\tilde{V}} k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) s(\tilde{y}) d\tilde{y}$. Moreover $\tilde{x} \mapsto k(\tilde{x}, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{y} \mapsto k(\cdot, \tilde{y})$ are smooth maps of $\tilde{V}$ into the Hilbert space $L^2(\tilde{S})$.*
- (iv) *There is a constant C such that for all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{V}$, we have $\int_{\tilde{V}} |k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})|^2 d\tilde{y} < C$ and for all $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{V}$, we have $\int_{\tilde{V}} |k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})|^2 d\tilde{x} < C$.*

By the Riesz representation Theorem for functionals on Hilbert space, the quantity $\int_{\tilde{V}} |k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})|^2 d\tilde{y}$ is the square of the norm of the linear functional $s \mapsto As(\tilde{x})$ on $L^2(\tilde{S})$. Therefore, there is a constant C such that $\int_{\tilde{V}} |k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})|^2 d\tilde{y} < C$ if and only if A maps $L^2(\tilde{S})$ continuously to the space $\text{CB}(\tilde{S})$ of bounded continuous sections of $\tilde{S}$. Similarly there is a constant C such that $\int_{\tilde{V}} |k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})|^2 d\tilde{x} < C$ if and only if A^* maps $L^2(\tilde{S})$ continuously to $\text{CB}(\tilde{S})$.

The set of Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators is an algebra.

An operator A is said to be of Γ -trace if there exist two Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators B_1 and B_2 such that $A = B_1 B_2$. If A is an operator of Γ -trace, let k be its kernel and choose any fundamental domain F for the Γ -action on $\tilde{V}$; then

$$\text{Tr}_\Gamma(A) := \int_F \text{Tr } k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) d\text{vol}(\tilde{x}) < \infty.$$

Now we give an important property of the Γ -trace (nearly the same as for the standard trace of matrix): for A and B two Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators or A an operator of Γ -trace and B a bounded operator, the operators AB and BA are of Γ -trace and

$$\text{Tr}_\Gamma(AB) = \text{Tr}_\Gamma(BA).$$

We also need to recall the Γ -dimension which is motivated by the fact that the trace of a projection operator is the dimension of its image. If H is a subspace of $L^2(\tilde{S})$ with the property that the orthogonal projection operator P from $L^2(\tilde{S})$ onto H is Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt, then we define

$$\dim_\Gamma(H) := \text{Tr}_\Gamma(P).$$

Finally we restate the *Atiyah's Γ -index Theorem* [Ati76]: suppose D (and so $\tilde{D}$) is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Dirac operator. The orthogonal projection P onto the kernel of $\tilde{D}$ is Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt and

$$\text{ind}_\Gamma(\tilde{D}^+) := \dim_\Gamma(\ker \tilde{D}^+) - \dim_\Gamma(\ker \tilde{D}^-) = \text{ind}(D^+).$$

We recall that a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded operator D from $L^2(S)$ to $L^2(S)$ is an operator which decomposes itself as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^- \\ D^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the eigenspaces S^+ and S^- of an involution ε acting on S . For example, the standard Dirac operator on a spin even-dimensional manifold V^{2m} is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded. Here ε is the Clifford multiplication by the volume form $\omega = i^m e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdots e_{2m}$ (where the $(e_i)_{i=1, \dots, 2m}$ form an orthonormal basis of T^*V) acting on the spinor bundle.

3.2. First step in the even dimensional case. In the even dimensional case we can now give a condition which ensures the existence of a Dirac operator on $\tilde{V}$ such that 0 belongs to its spectrum.

Proposition 3.2. *Let D be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Dirac operator on V and $\tilde{D}$ be its lift. If $\text{ind } D^+ \neq 0$, then 0 belongs to the point spectrum of $\tilde{D}$.*

Proof. It is just an application of Atiyah's Γ -index Theorem. Indeed $\text{ind}_\Gamma \tilde{D}^+ \neq 0$ implies that $\dim_\Gamma \ker(\tilde{D}) \neq 0$. Using the definition of Γ -dimension, this ensures that there exists a non-zero spinor in this kernel. $\blacksquare$

Remark 3.3. For the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ on spinors the condition is $\hat{A}_V[V] \neq 0$, which is exactly the assumption of Theorem A. For the twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^Y$, the condition is $\{\hat{A}(V) \text{ch}(Y)\}[V] \neq 0$ (see [LM89, Theo. 13.10]).

3.3. First step in the odd dimensional case. On an odd dimensional manifold, the ordinary index of every elliptic operator is always zero ([LM89, Theo. 13.12]). In order to overcome this difficulty, we consider the spectral flow of a family of Dirac operators instead of the index Theorem of only one Dirac operator. The use of the spectral flow is inspired by the proof of Vafa-Witten's Theorem on eigenvalues of the Dirac operator, given in [Ati85].

3.3.1. Condition on the spectral flow. We start with a smooth family $\{D_u\}_{u \in [0,1]}$ of Dirac operators on V and lift it to $\tilde{V}$ to obtain the family $\{\tilde{D}_u\}_{u \in [0,1]}$ of Dirac operators on $\tilde{V}$. We search for a condition which ensures that there exists some $u_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that 0 belongs to the spectrum of $\tilde{D}_{u_0}$. For this we will use the adequate index Theorems on $V \times [0, 1]$ and $\tilde{V} \times [0, 1]$. For $V \times [0, 1]$, we need the index Theorem of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (see [APS76]) for a compact manifold with boundary and its associated η -invariant. We will also recall what is the spectral flow of a family of Dirac operators and in particular, the link between the η -invariant and the spectral flow. For $\tilde{V} \times [0, 1]$, we will use the Γ -index Theorem developed by M. Ramachandran in [Ram93] for a non-compact manifold with boundary where the η_Γ -invariant plays an important role. We will then relate the derivatives of η and η_Γ ([Gil84, §1.7&1.10]). In the following we will denote the spectrum of an operator D by $\text{spec}(D)$.

Our main task in this section is to show:

Theorem 3.4. *If the spectral flow of the smooth family $\{D_u\}_{u \in [0,1]}$ of Dirac operators on V is non-zero, then there exists $u_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that 0 belongs to the spectrum of $\tilde{D}_{u_0}$.*

Proof. We borrow here the presentation of the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index Theorem and of the η -invariant from L.I. Nicolaescu [Nic99, §1]. On $V \times [0, 1]$, we consider the operator $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - D_u$. The index Theorem with the spectral boundary condition of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer ([APS76]) for the manifold $V \times [0, u]$ with boundary $V \times \{0\} \cup V \times \{u\}$, where $V \times \{0\}$ correspond to V negatively oriented and $V \times \{u\}$ correspond to V positively oriented (the orientation of $\partial(V \times [0, 1])$ is given by the outer normal), gives

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, V \times [0, u]) = A(u) - \frac{1}{2}(-\eta(0) + h(0) + \eta(u) + h(u))$$

where $\eta(u)$ is the η -invariant of the manifold (V, D_u) , $h(u) = \dim \ker D_u$ and $A(u)$ is the integral over $M \times [0, u]$ of the index density determined by the operator $\mathcal{D}$ which is a completely local object.

We introduce the ξ -invariant (or reduced η -invariant) by $\xi(u) = (h(u) + \eta(u))/2$. Hence

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, V \times [0, u]) = A(u) - (\xi(u) - \xi(0)) - h(0).$$

Recall what the *spectral flow* is. The discontinuities of $\xi(u)$ are due to jumps in $h(u)$. We describe how the jump in $h(u)$ affects $\xi(u)$ in a simple, yet generic situation. We assume D_u is a regular family i.e.

- the resonance set $\mathcal{Z} = \{u \in [0, 1]; h(u) \neq 0\}$ is finite,
- For every $u_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of u_0 in $[0, 1]$ and smooth maps $\lambda_k : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$, $k = 1, \dots, h(u)$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{N}$ the family $\{\lambda_k(u)\}_k$ describes *all* the eigenvalues of D_u in $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$ (including multiplicities) and, moreover, $\frac{d}{du}\lambda_k(u_0) \neq 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, h(u_0)$.

Now for each $u \in \mathcal{Z}$, set $\sigma_{\pm} = \#\{k | \pm \frac{d}{du}\lambda_k(u) > 0\}$ and

$$\Delta_u \sigma = \begin{cases} -\sigma_-(0) & \text{if } u = 0 \\ \sigma_+(u) - \sigma_-(u) & \text{if } u \in]0, 1[\\ \sigma_+(1) & \text{if } u = 1 \end{cases}$$

If $\Delta_u \xi := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\xi(u + \varepsilon) - \xi(u - \varepsilon))$, we see that $\Delta_u \xi = 0$ if $u \notin \mathcal{Z}$ while for $u \in \mathcal{Z}$ we have $\Delta_u \xi = \Delta_u \sigma$. Finally, define the spectral flow of the family D_u by

$$(3.2) \quad \text{sf}(1) = \text{sf}(D_u, u \in [0, 1]) = \sum_{u \in [0, 1]} \Delta_u \sigma = \sum_{u \in [0, 1]} \Delta_u \xi.$$

In fact the spectral flow counts how many eigenvalues of D_u cross zero as u moves from 0 to 1.

We rewrite the equality (3.1) as follows,

$$\xi(u) - \xi(0) = A(u) - \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, V \times [0, u]) - h(0).$$

The term $A(u)$ depends smoothly on u . The term $(-\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, V \times [0, u]) - h(0))$ is integer-valued so it cannot be smooth, unless it is constant. If $\bar{\xi}(u) := \xi(u) \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ (seen as a map from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$ identified with S^1) then $u \mapsto \bar{\xi}(u)$ is smooth. Therefore we deduct

$$(3.3) \quad \text{sf}(u) \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \sum_{v \in [0, u]} \Delta_v \xi = -\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, M \times [0, u]) - h(u)$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{d}{du} \bar{\xi}(u) = \frac{d}{du} A(u) \text{ and so } A(u) = \int_0^u \frac{d}{dv} \bar{\xi}(v) dv.$$

Moreover we assume $D_0 = D_1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, V \times [0, 1]) &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} A(1) - \frac{1}{2}(h(0) + h(1)) \stackrel{(\text{hyp.})}{=} A(1) - h(0) \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{du} \bar{\xi}(u) du - h(0) \\ &\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} -\text{sf}(1) - h(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *With the previous notations:*

$$A(1) = -\text{sf}(1) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{du} \bar{\xi}(u) du = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{du} \bar{\eta}(u) du$$

where $\bar{\eta}(u) = 2\bar{\xi}(u)$ (representant of $\eta(u)$ in $[0, 2[$ – seen as a map from $[0, 1]$ to S^1).

Remark 3.6. The minus sign in the equality $A(1) = -\text{sf}(1)$ is due to the fact that we use $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - D_u$ instead of the convention of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [APS76] which is to use $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + D_u$. Moreover we do not use the same orientation on $V \times [0, 1]$ as in [APS76]. This different convention on orientation is also used by Bismut-Freed [BF86, Theo. 2.11, Rem. 6].

We now study $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \tilde{D}_u$ on $\tilde{V} \times [0, 1]$. The relevant index Theorem in this case gives the formula [Ram93]

$$\text{ind}_\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{V} \times [0, u]) = A(u) - \frac{1}{2} (-\eta_\Gamma(0) + h_\Gamma(0) + \eta_\Gamma(u) + h_\Gamma(u))$$

where $\eta_\Gamma(u)$ is the η_Γ -invariant of the manifold $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{D}_u)$ (defined in the next Sub-section 3.3.2), $h_\Gamma(u) = \dim_\Gamma \ker \tilde{D}_u$ and $A(u)$ is the same as in the formula (3.1) (in particular it is an integral over $V \times [0, u]$ and not on $\tilde{V} \times [0, u]$).

By contradiction, we assume that for all $u \in [0, 1]$, $0 \notin \text{spec } D_u$ and thus $h_\Gamma(u) = 0$. In the following Sub-section 3.3.2, we shall show the crucial lemma:

Lemma 3.7. *Assume $0 \notin \text{spec}(\tilde{D}_u)$ for all u . Then the η_Γ -invariant is a smooth function of u satisfying*

$$\frac{d}{du} \eta_\Gamma(u) = \frac{d}{du} \bar{\eta}(u).$$

Hence, as $D_0 = D_1$ (and so $\tilde{D}_0 = \tilde{D}_1$), using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$0 = \eta_\Gamma(1) - \eta_\Gamma(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{du} \eta_\Gamma(u) du = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{du} \bar{\eta}(u) du = -2 \text{sf}(1).$$

Therefore we have found a contradiction and Theorem 3.4 is proved. ■

Remark 3.8. We emphasize that the spectral flow of a family of Dirac operators does not depend on the index Theorem of the compact manifold with boundary $V \times [0, 1]$ but on the classical Atiyah-Singer index Theorem on the closed manifold $V \times S^1$ (see [BF86, Theo. 2.11]). In fact, $\text{sf}(D_u; u \in [0, 1], D_0 = D_1) = -\text{ind}(\mathcal{D})$ for any operator on $V \times [0, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{D}|_{V \times \{u\}} = -D_u$. This remark will be important when we generalize our result to the infinite K-area case in Section 7.

3.3.2. Study of the η_Γ -invariant. The proof of Lemma 3.7 will occupy the whole of this section. It is based on the same principle as the proof of Atiyah's Γ -index Theorem given in [Roe98, Chap. 15]. He used an asymptotic expansion of the kernel of $e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ to prove that $\text{ind}_\Gamma \tilde{D}^+$ is given by an integral on a fundamental domain only involving the symbol of $\tilde{D}$ and therefore he concluded to the index equality. Therefore,

here our main task is to show that, in our case, $\frac{d}{du}\eta_\Gamma(u)$ is a local invariant which can be computed as an integral of a local form.

Lemma 3.9. *When for every $u \in [0, 1]$, $0 \notin \text{spec}(\tilde{D}_u)$,*

$$\frac{d}{du}\eta_\Gamma(u) = \int_F a_n(x, \frac{d}{du}\tilde{D}_u, \tilde{D}_u) dx$$

where F is a fundamental domain and a_n is a local invariant in the jets of the symbol of $(\frac{d}{du}\tilde{D}_u, \tilde{D}_u)$.

The result for $\bar{\eta}$ is already known [Gil84, Lem. 1.10.3] and corresponds to the case $\Gamma = \{id\}$. As the symbol of the operators and their lifts are the same and as we integrate over a fundamental domain, we conclude that Lemma 3.9 implies Lemma 3.7.

Definition – Proposition 3.10 (M. Ramachandran [Ram93] §3.1). *The η_Γ -invariant is defined by*

$$\eta_\Gamma(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2}) dt.$$

That is, the following limits

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2}) dt$$

are finite. Moreover the limits are uniform with respect to u .

Proof. For 0 the convergence is based on a Bismut-Freed estimation [BF86, Theo. 2.4]: denoting by $K(t, x, y)$ the kernel of the integral operator $e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ then

$$|\text{Tr}_x \tilde{D} K(t, x, x)| < Ct^{1/2}$$

where C is a constant depending on the local geometry of V, S , the dimension of V and the rank of S . Hence the limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is well defined. Moreover, as C does not depend on u , the convergence is uniform with respect to u .

For $+\infty$ we use the same standard spectral measure argument as M. Ramachandran in [Ram93, Theo. 3.1.1]. The convergence is again uniform with respect to u .

As a conclusion, the η_Γ -invariant is well defined and continuous. ■

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 3.9.
Proof. The proof is decomposed in three steps from (a) to (c). *A priori*, we do not know that $\frac{d}{du}\eta_\Gamma(u)$ exists. Its existence will be a consequence of the proof.

(a) We begin with establishing the formula:

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d}{du} \text{Tr}_\Gamma(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2}) = (1 + 2t \frac{d}{dt}) \text{Tr}_\Gamma(\frac{d}{du}(\tilde{D}_u) e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2}).$$

The proof of this formula uses especially the article [CG85, §4].

Before starting, we make one remark. Subsequently, all inversions of limits and integrals, integrals and integrals, derivations and integrals, derivations and limits are permitted by the fact that we work on compacts ($u \in [0, 1]$, the Γ -trace is an integral on a compact fundamental domain $F, \dots$) and the fact that the functions under consideration are smooth (in all variables).

Now we can start by writing Duhamel's principle

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_0^2} - \tilde{D}_0 e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_0^2} \\
&= - \int_{\varepsilon}^{t-\varepsilon} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \right] ds \\
(3.6) \quad &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^{t-\varepsilon} \left[\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{D}_u^2 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} - \tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \tilde{D}_0^2 \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take the Γ -trace, the second term of the last line can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{t-\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_{\Gamma} \left(\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \tilde{D}_0^2 \right) ds \\
&= \int_{\varepsilon}^{t-\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_{\Gamma} \left((\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2}) (e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2} \tilde{D}_0^2) \right) ds \\
&= \int_{\varepsilon}^{t-\varepsilon} \left((e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2} \tilde{D}_0^2) (\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2}) \right) ds \\
(3.7) \quad &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{t-\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_{\Gamma} \left(\tilde{D}_0^3 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

In the previous computation we have used the commutation property of the Γ -trace but we need to verify the assumptions, that is the two operators inverted $(\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2})$ and $(e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2} \tilde{D}_0^2)$ are Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt. It is the aim of the following sub-lemma:

Sub-lemma 3.11. *Let S be a Clifford bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold V of dimension n . Let $D : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow C^\infty(S)$ be a Dirac operator and $Q : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow C^\infty(S)$ be an auxiliary partial differential operator of order $a \geq 0$. We lift all these objects to a Γ -covering $\tilde{V}$ ($\tilde{V}$ can be V itself).*

Then the operator $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ is a well defined (i.e. $\text{Im } e^{-t\tilde{D}^2} \subset \text{dom } \tilde{Q}$), infinitely smoothing operator with Γ -invariant kernel $k(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{Q}_x K(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ (where $K(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ is the kernel of $e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ and the operator $\tilde{Q}_x$ acts on $K(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$, considered as a function of $\tilde{x}$). Moreover $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(\tilde{S})$ to $L^2(\tilde{S})$ and even a Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Proof. We prove successively all the points of the sub-lemma.

First we have $\text{Im } e^{-t\tilde{D}^2} \subset \text{dom } \tilde{D}^k$. Indeed the functional calculus ensures that the operator $f_k(\tilde{D}) = \tilde{D}^k e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ for all k where $f_k(x) = x^k e^{-tx^2}$ are well defined because the functions f_k are rapidly decreasing. On the other hand the non-compact Sobolev embedding Theorem and elliptic estimates (see [Roe98, Prop. 15.4] for a proof) assert that for any $p > \frac{n}{2}$ and $r \geq 0$, there is a constant c such that

$$\|s\|_{CB^r} \leq c(\|s\| + \|\tilde{D}s\| + \dots + \|\tilde{D}^{p+r}s\|)$$

for all s in the domain of $\tilde{D}^{p+r}$ where $CB^r(\tilde{S})$ is the space of sections of $\tilde{S}$ which are r times continuously differentiable with all derivatives bounded, $\|s\|_{CB^r}$ is the sum of the supremum norms of all the derivatives of s and $\|\cdot\|$ is the L^2 -norm. This

proves that $\text{dom } \tilde{D}^{p+r} \subset \text{CB}^r(\tilde{S})$. Thus we have proved the first point: $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ is well defined.

Moreover, for any rapidly decreasing function f , the functional calculus (see [Roe98, Prop. 9.20] for a proof) estimates the norm of the operator $f(\tilde{D})$ from $L^2(\tilde{S})$ to $L^2(\tilde{S})$ by $\|f(\tilde{D})\| \leq \sup |f(\lambda)|$ for λ in the spectrum of $\tilde{D}$. Combining this with the non-compact Sobolev embedding Theorem gives that $e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ maps $L^2(\tilde{S})$ continuously in $\text{CB}^r(\tilde{S})$ for all r . In addition, $\tilde{Q}$ maps trivially $\text{CB}^r(\tilde{S})$ continuously in $\text{CB}^{r-a}(\tilde{S})$. Thus for all k , $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ maps $L^2(\tilde{S})$ continuously in $\text{CB}^k(\tilde{S})$: $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ is smoothing.

An easy computation gives the announced kernel and the fact that it is Γ -invariant.

It remains to show that $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2} : L^2(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow L^2(\tilde{S})$ is bounded. For this we use the closed graph Theorem i.e. consider a sequence $(u_n, \tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}u_n)$ in the graph which converges to (u, v) in L^2 -norm, we must show that $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}u = v$. But we know that the sequence $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}u_n$ converges to $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}u$ in CB^r -norm and therefore converges pointwise. By assumptions the sequence $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}u_n$ converges to v in L^2 -norm and so there exists a (dominated) subsequence that converges almost everywhere. By uniqueness of the limit $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}u = v$.

Reading again Definition 3.1 of a Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operator and the paragraph that follows it, we conclude that $\tilde{Q}e^{-t\tilde{D}^2}$ is a Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operator. $\blacksquare$

By definition of ∇_u , all the space $\text{CB}^r(\tilde{S}, \tilde{\nabla}_u)$ are equal because the norms are equivalent. Therefore, $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2}$ is well defined and we can apply Sub-lemma 3.11 to $\tilde{Q}e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2} = (\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2})e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2}$ as well as, directly to $e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2}\tilde{D}_0^2$ which is equal to $\tilde{Q}e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2} = \tilde{D}_0^2 e^{-\frac{s}{2}\tilde{D}_0^2}$.

Let return to the computation. The equality (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) - \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) \\
 &= - \int_\varepsilon^{t-\varepsilon} \left[\text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{D}_u^2 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) - \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \tilde{D}_0^2 \right) \right] ds \\
 (3.8) \quad &= \int_\varepsilon^{t-\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 (\tilde{D}_0^2 - \tilde{D}_u^2) e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we differentiate (3.8) with respect to u and set $u = 0$, the right-hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_\varepsilon^{t-\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 \frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u^2) e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-s\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) ds = - \int_\varepsilon^{t-\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 \frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u^2) e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) ds \\
 &= -(t-2\varepsilon) \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 \frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u^2)_{|u=0} e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) \\
 &= -(t-2\varepsilon) \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(t \tilde{D}_0 \frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} \tilde{D}_0 e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} - \tilde{D}_0^2 \frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) \\
 &= -(t-2\varepsilon) \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} \tilde{D}_0^2 e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) \text{ by permuting factors as in (3.7).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(3.9) \quad -2t \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} \tilde{D}_0^2 e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) = 2t \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right).$$

To make the corresponding evaluation for the left-hand side of (3.8) note that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{d}{du} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \right) = \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) + \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u \frac{d}{du} (e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2}) \right).$$

Also for fixed ε , as $e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} = e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2} = e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2}$,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) &= \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u \frac{d}{du} (e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2}) \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} \frac{d}{du} (e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and comparing (3.10) with (3.11) gives

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} \frac{d}{du} (e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2}) \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u \frac{d}{du} (e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2}) e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) = 0.$$

So the derivative at $u = 0$ of the left-hand side of (3.8) is

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 \frac{d}{du} (e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_u^2})_{|u=0} e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) - \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_0 \frac{d}{du} (e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2})_{|u=0} e^{-(t-\varepsilon)\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) \right] \\ = \frac{d}{du} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right)_{|u=0} - \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.12) gives

$$\frac{d}{du} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{D}_u e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \right)_{|u=0} = \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right) + 2t \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u)_{|u=0} e^{-t\tilde{D}_0^2} \right).$$

This ends the proof of the formula (3.5).

If $\frac{d}{du} \eta_\Gamma(u)$ exists, using the equality (3.5), it would be equal to the following limits

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\left(\frac{d}{du} \tilde{D}_u \right) e^{-t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) dt \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_\varepsilon^T 2t^{1/2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\left(\frac{d}{du} \tilde{D}_u \right) e^{t\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \right) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, in the previous expression (3.13), we integrate the second term by parts and obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} 2T^{1/2} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-T\tilde{D}_u^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 2\varepsilon^{1/2} \operatorname{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-\varepsilon\tilde{D}_u^2} \right).$$

We will now compute the limits of these both terms.

(b) We will show that:

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-T \tilde{D}_u^2} \right) = 0.$$

For this, we recall the useful Proposition 4.15 of [Ati76]: for a bounded, Γ -invariant operator A on $L^2(\tilde{S})$ which is of Γ -trace class and a sequence of bounded, Γ -invariant operators B_j on $L^2(\tilde{S})$ which converges to a bounded, Γ -invariant operator B on $L^2(\tilde{S})$ i.e. $\forall f \in L^2(\tilde{S}), B_j f \rightarrow B f$ in L^2 -norm, we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Tr}_\Gamma(AB_j) = \text{Tr}_\Gamma(AB)$.

Let T_0 be a strictly positive real. Consider

$$A = \frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-T_0 \tilde{D}_u^2} = \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-\frac{T_0}{2} \tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \left(e^{-\frac{T_0}{2} \tilde{D}_u^2} \right).$$

Since the operator A is the composition of two Γ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators (Sub-lemma 3.11), the operator A is, by definition, of Γ -trace class. Let T_j be an increasing sequence of real which tends to infinity. We defined

$$B_j = T_j^{1/2} e^{-(T_j - T_0) \tilde{D}_u^2} = T_j^{1/2} e^{-(T_j - T_0) c^2} e^{-(T_j - T_0) (\tilde{D}_u^2 - c^2)}$$

where c is chosen such that $\tilde{D}_u^2 - c^2 > \alpha > 0$ (it's possible because $0 \notin \text{spec}(\tilde{D}_u)$). Then the spectral theory shows that $e^{-(T_j - T_0) (\tilde{D}_u^2 - c^2)}$ converges to B the operator of projection on the kernel of $\tilde{D}_u^2 - c^2$ which is $\{0\}$. On the other hand $T_j^{1/2} e^{-(T_j - T_0) c^2}$ converges to 0 as j tends to infinity. Therefore we have proved that B_j converges to the bounded Γ -invariant operator B (projection on $\{0\}$). Finally we have the desired formula (3.14).

(c) Now we prove the formula:

$$(3.15) \quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 2\varepsilon^{1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du} (\tilde{D}_u) e^{-\varepsilon \tilde{D}_u^2} \right) = \int_F a_n(x, \frac{d}{du} \tilde{D}_u, \tilde{D}_u) dx$$

where F is a fundamental domain and a_n is a local invariant in the jets of the symbols of $(\frac{d}{du} \tilde{D}_u, \tilde{D}_u)$.

Using symbolic calculus (see [Gil84, §1.7]), we can improve Sub-lemma 3.11 as follows.

Sub-lemma 3.12. *Under the same assumptions as in Sub-lemma 3.11, there is an asymptotic expansion on the diagonal:*

$$k(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = \{ \tilde{Q}_x K(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \}_{\tilde{y}=\tilde{x}} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{(k-n-a)/2} e_k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{D})$$

where e_k are smooth local invariants of the jets of the symbols of $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{Q}$. This means that given any integer l there exists an integer $k(l)$ such that

$$|k(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k(l)} t^{(k-n-a)/2} e_k(\tilde{x})| < C_k t^k \text{ for } 0 < t < 1.$$

Sketch of proof. The proof of this sub-lemma is nearly the same as when the manifold is closed, which is the case in [Gil84, Lemma 1.7.7.]. As in the proof of Sub-lemma 3.11, we use the non-compact Sobolev embedding associated to the elliptic estimates in order to compute the norm of the operators from $(L^2(\tilde{S}), \|\cdot\|)$ to $(\text{CB}^r(\tilde{S}), \|\cdot\|_{\text{CB}^r})$. Here the fact that we are on a covering of a closed manifold with lifted operators plays an important role. In the closed manifold case, we use the norm of the operators from the Sobolev space $(L^2(S), \|\cdot\|)$ onto higher order Sobolev spaces and use the Sobolev embedding only at the end of the proof. The remainder of the proof is local and thus is exactly the same. $\blacksquare$

If we have such an asymptotic expansion for the kernel, we deduce immediately the following asymptotic expansion for the Γ -trace:

$$\text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\tilde{Q} e^{-t\tilde{D}} \right) = \int_F \text{Tr} k(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) \, \text{dvol}(\tilde{x}) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{(k-n-a)/2} \int_F \text{Tr} e_k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{D}) \, \text{dvol}(\tilde{x}).$$

And therefore, in our case, with $\tilde{Q} = \frac{d}{du}(\tilde{D}_u)$ and $\tilde{D} = \tilde{D}_u$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du}(\tilde{D}_u) e^{-\varepsilon \tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{(k-n)/2} \int_F \text{Tr} e_k(\tilde{x}, \frac{d}{du}(\tilde{D}_u), \tilde{D}_u) \, \text{dvol}(\tilde{x}).$$

As the symbols of the operators and of their lifts are the same, we can replace the integration over the fundamental domain F by an integration over V , thus

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du}(\tilde{D}_u) e^{-\varepsilon \tilde{D}_u^2} \right) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{(k-n)/2} \int_V \text{Tr} e_k(x, \frac{d}{du}(D_u), D_u) \, \text{dvol}(x).$$

We know that $\frac{d}{du}\bar{\eta}$ exists (see [Gil84, §1.10]). By the same computation as for $\frac{d}{du}\bar{\eta}_\Gamma$, we show that the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du}(D_u) e^{-\varepsilon D_u^2} \right)$ is finite and is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/2)\frac{d}{du}\bar{\eta}$. Moreover, we have also

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} \text{Tr}_\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{du}(D_u) e^{-\varepsilon D_u^2} \right) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{(k-n)/2} \int_V \text{Tr} e_k(x, \frac{d}{du}(D_u), D_u) \, \text{dvol}(x).$$

As a consequence we obtain $\int_F \text{Tr} e_k(\tilde{x}, \frac{d}{du}(\tilde{D}_u)) = \int_V \text{Tr} e_k(x, \frac{d}{du}(D_u)) = 0$ for $k < n$. The only terms which arise in the computation of the limit (3.15), are the ones for $k \geq n$, which ones are non-zero when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ only for $k = n$ and the result follows.

Finally we combine the points (a),(b) and (c) to achieve the proof of Lemma 3.9. $\blacksquare$

4. APPROXIMATE INEQUALITY

In the present section we will develop the second step of the proof as announced in Section 2.

4.1. Refined Kato inequality. First we introduce the context. Let V be a closed Riemannian spin manifold of dimension n . Let $D : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow C^\infty(S)$ be a first order operator. The *twisted operator* D^Y of D by a unitary bundle (Y, ∇^Y) is the operator whose symbol is $\sigma(D^Y) = \sigma(D) \otimes 1$ defined as follows

$$C^\infty(S \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\nabla^{S \otimes Y}} C^\infty(T^*V \otimes (S \otimes Y)) = C^\infty((T^*V \otimes S) \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma(D) \otimes 1} C^\infty(S \otimes Y)$$

So we have $D^Y = (\sigma(D) \otimes 1) \circ \nabla^{S \otimes Y} = \sigma \circ \nabla$ where σ is just the symbol of the operator. We now recall the refined Kato inequality [CGH00]:

Proposition 4.1. *Consider Φ an element of $\ker \sigma$ at some point and φ an element of $S \otimes Y$ at the same point. Then*

$$\sup_{|\varphi|=1} |\langle \Phi, \varphi \rangle| \leq k_\sigma |\Phi|,$$

where the constant $k_\sigma (< 1)$ only depends on the symbol of the operator D .

Furthermore, for $\psi \in \ker D^Y$ i.e. $\Phi = \nabla \psi \in \ker \sigma$, $|\mathrm{d}|\psi|| \leq k_\sigma |\nabla \psi|$ (*).

In particular, for any (twisted) Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^Y$, we know $k_\sigma = ((n-1)/n)^{1/2}$. Moreover we have equality in the previous inequality (*) if and only if there exists a 1-form α such that

$$\nabla \psi = \alpha \otimes \psi + \frac{1}{n} \sum e_i \otimes e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi.$$

Proof. We adapt the general proof to the particular case of a Dirac operator. It is well known that the symbol σ of the Dirac operator is the Clifford multiplication. We define $\Pi : C^\infty(T^*V \otimes (S \otimes Y)) \rightarrow C^\infty(T^*V \otimes (S \otimes Y))$ by $\Pi(\alpha \otimes \psi) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum e_i \otimes e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi$ where e_i is an orthonormal basis of T^*V , $\alpha \in T^*V$, $\psi \in S \otimes Y$ and $\cdot$ the Clifford multiplication. We have $\ker \sigma = \ker \Pi$, the endomorphisms Π and $1 - \Pi$ are orthogonal projections. Let φ be a section of $S \otimes Y$ with norm 1. Thus, if $\Phi \in \ker \Pi$ we have $|\langle \Phi, \varphi \rangle| = \sup_{|\alpha|=1} |\langle \Phi, \alpha \otimes \varphi \rangle|$. But, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $|\langle \Phi, \alpha \otimes \varphi \rangle| = |\langle \Phi, (1 - \Pi)(\alpha \otimes \varphi) \rangle| \leq |\Phi| |(1 - \Pi)(\alpha \otimes \varphi)|$. We now write Pythagoras' equality $1 = |\alpha \otimes \varphi|^2 = |\Pi(\alpha \otimes \varphi)|^2 + |(1 - \Pi)(\alpha \otimes \varphi)|^2$. We can compute directly

$$|\Pi(\alpha \otimes \varphi)|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left\langle \sum_i e_i \otimes e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \varphi, \sum_j e_j \otimes e_j \cdot \alpha \cdot \varphi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n} |\alpha|^2 |\varphi|^2 = \frac{1}{n}.$$

The constant k_σ follows.

As in [CGH00, Theo. 3.1], we can study the equality case. In this case, we have equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, hence there exists a 1-form α and a section φ of $S \otimes Y$ such that $\Phi = (1 - \Pi)(\alpha \otimes \varphi)$. Furthermore, for $\psi \in \ker \mathcal{D}^Y$, the equality implies that there exists a 1-form α such that $\nabla \psi = \alpha \otimes \psi + \frac{1}{n} \sum e_i \otimes e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi$. This will be useful in Section 5. ■

4.2. Bochner-Lichnerowicz-Weitzenböck formula. For this section we refer to the article [GL83, §2]. Let D denote a Dirac operator acting on a Dirac bundle S on V and $\tilde{D}$ denote the lifted Dirac operator acting on $L^2(\tilde{S})$, the Hilbert space of L^2 -integrable sections of $\tilde{S}$ on $\tilde{V}$. The inner product and the norm on $L^2(\tilde{S})$ will be

denoted by $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$, respectively $\|\cdot\|$; they are induced as usual by the pointwise inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\tilde{S}$ and the canonical volume form $\text{dvol}_{\tilde{V}}$ on $\tilde{V}$.

We define the *Sobolev space* $L^{1,2}(\tilde{S})$. For $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{S})$, the smooth sections of $\tilde{S}$ which have compact support, we set

$$\|\psi\|_1^2 = \int_{\tilde{V}} (\langle \psi, \psi \rangle + \langle \tilde{\nabla} \psi, \tilde{\nabla} \psi \rangle)$$

and denote $L^{1,2}(\tilde{S})$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(\tilde{S})$ in this norm.

Let consider the twisted Dirac operators. Let Y be an hermitian bundle over V and $\mathcal{D}^Y$ be the associated twisted Dirac on V acting on sections of $\mathcal{S} \otimes Y$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^Y$ be the lifted Dirac operator on $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ be the lifted bundle over $\tilde{V}$. The operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^Y$ is the same as the Dirac operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ twisted by the bundle $\tilde{Y}$. We emphasize that, on $\tilde{V}$ (as on V), we have the fundamental Bochner-Lichnerowicz-Weitzenböck formula

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^Y \psi\|^2 = \|\tilde{\nabla} \psi\|^2 + \langle\langle \frac{\text{scal}}{4} \psi, \psi \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}} \psi, \psi \rangle\rangle$$

where $\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}$ is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}(s \otimes e) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (e_i \cdot e_j \cdot s) \otimes R_{e_i, e_j}^{\tilde{Y}}(e) \text{ where } R_{v,w}^{\tilde{Y}} = [\tilde{\nabla}_v^{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{\nabla}_w^{\tilde{Y}}] - \tilde{\nabla}_{[v,w]}^{\tilde{Y}}$$

with s a section of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, e a section of $\tilde{Y}$, (e_i) an orthonormal basis of $T^*\tilde{V}$, $\cdot$ is the Clifford multiplication and $R^{\tilde{Y}}$ is the curvature 2-form with value in $\text{End}(\tilde{Y})$. Moreover, we can bound above the norm of the operator $\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}$ as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \|\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}\| \leq \alpha_n \|R^{\tilde{Y}}\| = \alpha_n \|R^Y\|$$

where α_n only depends on the dimension of V .

As a consequence of the Bochner-Lichnerowicz-Weitzenböck formula and of the fact that the curvature term $\text{scal}/4 + \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}$ is uniformly bounded on $\tilde{V}$, the maximal domain of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ on $L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ is exactly $L^{1,2}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ (see [GL83, Theo. 2.8]).

4.3. Key Lemma. The main purpose of the section is to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Y be a Riemannian bundle over V and $\mathcal{D}^Y$ be the associated twisted Dirac operator on V . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^Y$ be the lifted Dirac operator on $\tilde{V}$. If 0 belongs to the spectrum of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^Y$, we have*

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) + \alpha_n \|R^Y\|$$

where α_n only depends on the dimension of V .

Proof. We need to distinguish two cases. The trivial one is when there exists an eigenvector associate to the eigenvalue 0. Then we write the Bochner formula and can conclude in a trivial way. If not, 0 is in the essential spectrum and we cannot directly use the refined Kato inequality.

For sake of simplicity we will denote D for $\mathcal{D}^Y$ and S for $\mathcal{S}$.

If 0 is in the point spectrum there exists an eigenspinor $\psi \in L^{1,2}(\tilde{S}^+ \otimes \tilde{Y})$ of the operator $\tilde{D}$ on $\tilde{V}$. We apply the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula to this ψ :

$$0 = \|\tilde{D}\psi\|^2 = \|\tilde{\nabla}\psi\|^2 + \left\langle \frac{\text{scal}}{4}\psi, \psi \right\rangle + \langle \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}\psi, \psi \rangle.$$

To obtain the desired inequality, we estimate the three terms of this equality. For the first term, we use successively the refined Kato inequality (Proposition 4.1) and the Rayleigh's quotient. For the second, we just bound below the scalar curvature with its infimum. For the third, we use the upper bound (4.1) of the norm of the operator $\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}$.

We finally obtain

$$0 \geq \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) + \frac{\inf \text{scal}(V, g)}{4} - \alpha_n \|R^Y\| \right) \|\psi\|^2.$$

As $\|\psi\|^2 > 0$ the result follows.

If 0 is in the essential spectrum, there exists a sequence $\psi_k \in L^{1,2}(\tilde{S}^+ \otimes \tilde{Y})$ such that $\|\psi_k\|^2 = 1$ and $\|\tilde{D}\psi_k\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{k} \|\psi_k\|^2 = \frac{1}{k}$. We have $2|d|\psi|||\psi| = |d|\psi|^2| = 2|\langle \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \psi \rangle|$, thus $|d|\psi|^2| = |\langle \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \varphi \rangle|$ where $\varphi = \frac{\psi}{|\psi|}$. Writing $\tilde{D} = \Pi \circ \tilde{\nabla}$, we obtain:

$$|\langle \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \varphi \rangle|^2 = |\langle \Pi \circ \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \varphi \rangle|^2 + |\langle (1 - \Pi) \circ \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \varphi \rangle|^2.$$

As $\Phi = (1 - \Pi) \circ \tilde{\nabla}\psi \in \ker \Pi$, $|\langle \Phi, \varphi \rangle| \leq k_\Pi |\Phi| \leq k_\Pi |\tilde{\nabla}\psi|$ (Proposition 4.1). Moreover $|\langle \Pi \circ \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle \tilde{D}\psi, \varphi \rangle| \leq |\tilde{D}\psi| |\varphi| = |\tilde{D}\psi|$, hence

$$|\langle \tilde{\nabla}\psi, \frac{\psi}{|\psi|} \rangle|^2 \leq |\tilde{D}\psi|^2 + k_\Pi^2 |\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2.$$

Applying this to ψ_k and replacing k_Π by its value $\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \int |d|\psi_k||^2 \leq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{n-1}{n} \|\tilde{\nabla}\psi_k\|^2.$$

Now we write the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula applied to ψ_k

$$\|\tilde{D}\psi_k\|^2 = \|\tilde{\nabla}\psi_k\|^2 + \left\langle \frac{1}{4} \text{scal} \psi_k, \psi_k \right\rangle + \langle \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{Y}}\psi_k, \psi_k \rangle,$$

consequently

$$\frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{\int |d|\psi_k||^2 - \frac{1}{k}}{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \frac{1}{4} \inf \text{scal} \|\psi_k\|^2 - \alpha_n \|R^Y\| \|\psi_k\|^2.$$

Now we use the Rayleigh's quotient

$$\frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{\lambda_0(\tilde{V}) - \frac{1}{k}}{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \frac{1}{4} \inf \text{scal} - \alpha_n \|R^Y\|.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$0 \geq \frac{\lambda_0(\tilde{V})}{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \frac{1}{4} \inf \text{scal} - \alpha_n \|R^Y\|.$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 4.2. ■

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A AND THEOREM C

5.1. Proof of Theorem A. Let V be a closed Riemannian spin manifold with non-zero $\widehat{A}$ -genus. We use the Atiyah Γ -index Theorem to ensure that $\text{ind}_\Gamma \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^+ = \text{ind} \mathcal{D}^+ = \widehat{A}_V[V] \neq 0$ thus there exists $\psi \in L^{1,2}(\widetilde{S}^+)$, $\psi \neq 0$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\psi = 0$. Therefore we can use the key Lemma 4.2 simply for the (untwisted) usual Dirac operator and obtain directly the desired inequality.

5.2. Equality case (Theorem C). As we know the equality case in the refined Kato inequality [CGH00, Theo. 3.1], we can study the equality case in Theorem A. Since one of the implications is trivial (i.e. $\text{scal}(V, g) = 0$ implies the equality), we have only to prove the other one. So we state the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem A. If we are in the equality case, that is*

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) = -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}),$$

then $\widetilde{V}$ is compact and $\text{scal}(V, g) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that we are in the equality case of Theorem A, we distinct two cases: $\widetilde{V}$ is compact or $\widetilde{V}$ is non compact. If $\widetilde{V}$ is compact we are going to show that $\text{scal}(V, g) = 0$. On the other hand, we will show that the case $\widetilde{V}$ non-compact is impossible.

If $\widetilde{V}$ is compact, then we have trivially $\lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}) = 0$ and hence we get $\inf \text{scal}(V, g) = -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}) = 0$. Using the $\widehat{A}$ -genus vanishing Theorem of Lichnerowicz we conclude that $\text{scal}(V, g) = 0$.

When $\widetilde{V}$ is non-compact, we will find a contradiction.

We begin with the equality cases in the inequalities that we use in the proof of the key Lemma 4.2:

- (a) Equality in the Refined Kato inequality: $|\text{d}|\psi||^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} |\widetilde{\nabla}\psi|^2$ and, as recalled in Proposition 4.1, there exists a 1-form α on $\widetilde{V}$ such that $\widetilde{\nabla}\psi = \alpha \otimes \psi + \frac{1}{n} \sum_i e_i \otimes e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi$, where e_i is an orthonormal basis of $T^*\widetilde{V}$ and $\cdot$ is the Clifford multiplication,
- (b) Equality for the Rayleigh's quotient: $\lambda_0(\widetilde{V}) = \frac{\int |\text{d}|\psi||^2}{\int |\psi|^2}$ therefore $\Delta|\psi| = \lambda_0(\widetilde{V})|\psi|$,
- (c) Equality for the infimum: $\inf \text{scal}(V, g) = \text{scal}(V, g)$ and thus $\lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}) = -\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{\text{scal}(V, g)}{4}$.

Next we make some intermediate computations in order to conclude to a contradiction in a last time.

With (a) we compute $|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 &= \langle \alpha \otimes \psi + \frac{1}{n} \sum_i e_i \otimes e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, \alpha \otimes \psi + \frac{1}{n} \sum_j e_j \otimes e_j \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi \rangle \\
&= |\alpha|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_i \langle e_i, \alpha \rangle \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi, e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, e_j \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi \rangle \\
&= |\alpha|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i, \alpha \rangle \langle e_j, \alpha \rangle \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi, e_i \cdot e_j \cdot \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \langle e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi \rangle \\
&= |\alpha|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_i \langle e_i, \alpha \rangle^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi, e_i \cdot e_i \cdot \psi \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i < j} \langle e_i, \alpha \rangle \langle e_j, \alpha \rangle \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi, (e_i \cdot e_j + e_j \cdot e_i) \cdot \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i |\alpha|^2 |\psi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad |\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} |\alpha|^2 |\psi|^2.$$

We will now find the contradiction proving first:

Lemma 5.2. *We have $|\alpha|^2 = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^2 \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$ and $\Delta|\psi|^2 = 0$.*

Proof. We have two different manners to calculate $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\psi|^2$:

- First,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\psi|^2 &= -|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{scal}|\psi|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(5.1)}{=} -\frac{n-1}{n}|\alpha|^2|\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{scal}|\psi|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \left(-\frac{n-1}{n}|\alpha|^2 + \frac{n}{n-1}\lambda_0\right)|\psi|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\psi|^2 = -|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{scal}|\psi|^2$. Indeed we always have $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\psi|^2 = \langle \tilde{\nabla}^* \tilde{\nabla} \psi, \psi \rangle - |\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2$. As ψ is harmonic, $0 = \langle \mathcal{D}^2 \psi, \psi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\nabla}^* \tilde{\nabla} \psi + \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{scal} \psi, \psi \rangle$, hence we have the desired formula.

- On the other hand, since $\Delta f^2 = -2|df|^2 + 2f\Delta f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\psi|^2 &= -|d|\psi||^2 + |\psi|\Delta|\psi| \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} -\frac{n-1}{n}|\widetilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 + |\psi|\Delta|\psi| \\
&\stackrel{(5.1)}{=} -\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2|\alpha|^2|\psi|^2 + |\psi|\Delta|\psi| \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} -\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2|\alpha|^2|\psi|^2 + \lambda_0|\psi|^2 \\
&= \left(-\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2|\alpha|^2 + \lambda_0\right)|\psi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$-\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2|\alpha|^2 + \lambda_0 = -\frac{n-1}{n}|\alpha|^2 + \frac{n}{n-1}\lambda_0$$

consequently

$$|\alpha|^2 = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^2 \lambda_0.$$

Thanks to this equality, we deduce that $\Delta|\psi|^2 = 0$. ■

On the other hand we check that

$$(5.2) \quad d|\psi|^2 = 2\frac{n-1}{n}\alpha|\psi|^2.$$

Indeed we have

$$d|\psi|^2 = 2\Re\langle\widetilde{\nabla}\psi, \psi\rangle \stackrel{(a)}{=} 2\alpha|\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{n}\sum_i \Re\langle e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, \psi\rangle e_i.$$

For $X \in \ker \alpha$, we have $\sum_i \Re\langle e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, \psi\rangle e_i(X) = \Re\langle X^\flat \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, \psi\rangle = 0$ using the properties of the Clifford algebra and the fact that the hermitian metric is adapted to the Clifford multiplication. For $X = \alpha^\#$, we compute $\sum_i \Re\langle e_i \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, \psi\rangle e_i(\alpha^\#) = \Re\langle \alpha \cdot \alpha \cdot \psi, \psi\rangle = -|\alpha|^2|\psi|^2$. The equality (5.2) follows.

We now improve Lemma 5.2 as follows:

Lemma 5.3. *We have $|\alpha| = 0$ and so $\lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}) = 0$.*

Proof. By contradiction, we suppose that the constant $|\alpha|$ is non-zero i.e. $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. Let $f = |\psi|^2$. We know that $f \in L^1(\widetilde{V})$ (because $\psi \in L^2(\widetilde{S})$), $\Delta f = 0$ (Lemma 5.2) and $|\text{grad } f| = 2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f$ (Equality (5.2) and Lemma 5.2). Notice that $f > 0$ everywhere because ψ is a non-zero harmonic spinor.

We define the vector field

$$X = \frac{\text{grad } f}{|\text{grad } f|}$$

which is the unit normal to the level-submanifolds $\tilde{V}_c = f^{-1}(c)$. The vector field X is complete on $\tilde{V}$ because $|X|$ is constant, equal to 1.

On an integral curve γ of X , $\frac{d}{dt}f = 2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f$, therefore $f(t) = k_\gamma e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t}$ where k_γ is a strictly positive constant depending on the curve.

If there exists a closed integral curve γ then there are two different times t and t' such that $k_\gamma e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t} = k_\gamma e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t'}$: it is impossible. Therefore no such curve is closed.

Choose $f_0 \in \text{Im } f$ and a little transverse neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \tilde{V}_{f_0}$ of the vector field X around a point $x_0 \in \tilde{V}_{f_0}$. Let γ the integral curves of X such that $\gamma(0) \in \mathcal{V}_0$ thus we have $f(t) = f_0 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t}$ on this curves. The neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}_0$ is chosen sufficiently small such that the flow of X defines a map $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{V} : (x, t) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)$ which is a diffeomorphism on its image. Let us introduce some notations: $\mathcal{V}_t = \Phi(\mathcal{V}_0 \times \{t\}) \subset \tilde{V}_{f(t)}$, its volume form will be denoted by ω_t and ω will be the volume form of $\tilde{V}$. We know that $\omega_t = i_X \omega$. By definition of the divergence, we have $d\omega_t = d(i_X \omega) = -\text{div}(X)\omega$, therefore $\frac{d}{dt}\omega_t = -\text{div}(X)\omega_t$. The computation of $\text{div}(X)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(X) &= \text{div}\left((2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f)^{-1} \text{grad } f\right) \\ &= (2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f)^{-1} \text{div grad } f - i_{\text{grad}((2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f)^{-1})} \text{grad } f \\ &= (2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f)^{-1} \Delta f - (2\sqrt{\lambda_0})^{-1} \langle \text{grad}(f^{-1}), \text{grad } f \rangle \\ &= -(2\sqrt{\lambda_0})^{-1} \left\langle -\frac{\text{grad } f}{f^2}, \text{grad } f \right\rangle = (2\sqrt{\lambda_0}f^2)^{-1} |\text{grad } f|^2 = 2\sqrt{\lambda_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\frac{d}{dt}\omega_t = -2\sqrt{\lambda_0}\omega_t$, thus $\omega_t = e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t}\omega_0$ where ω_0 is the $(n-1)$ -volume form of $\tilde{V}_{f_0}$. As a result, $\text{vol}(\mathcal{V}_t) = e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t}v_0$ where $v_0 = \text{vol}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ is a strictly positive constant.

As $(\Phi(\mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathbb{R}), \tilde{g})$ is isometric to $(\mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathbb{R}, g_t + dt^2)$ where $(\mathcal{V}_0 \times \{t\}, g_t)$ is isometric to $(\mathcal{V}_t, \tilde{g}|_{\tilde{V}_{f(t)}})$, we can compute the following integral

$$\int_{\Phi(\mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathbb{R})} f\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_t} f(t)\omega_t dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t} e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_0}t} v_0 dt = \infty.$$

This is in contradiction with the fact that $f \in L^1(\tilde{V})$. Hence we have proved the desired result i.e. $|\alpha| = 0$. ■

Finally we deduce a contradiction. Thanks to the equality (5.1), Lemma 5.3 and (a), we write $|\tilde{\nabla}\psi| = 0 = |d\psi|$, thus $|\psi|$ is constant. As ψ belongs to $L^2(\tilde{V})$ with $\tilde{V}$ non-compact, we deduct that $|\psi| = 0$. This is impossible (ψ is non-zero by construction). We conclude that if $\tilde{V}$ is non-compact, we cannot be in the equality case.

This ends the proof of Proposition 5.1. ■

Remark 5.4. In the compact case we also have $|\alpha| = 0$. Therefore using the equality (5.1), we have proved that in the equality case there exists a harmonic parallel non-zero spinor on $\tilde{V}$. This is a very strong constraint on the holonomy of $\tilde{V}$. The paper of M. Wang [Wan89] is an illustration of this general philosophy.

5.3. Consequences and remarks. Let (V, g) satisfy the assumptions of Theorem A, then using Theorem C we obtain the following possibilities:

- either $\text{scal}(V, g) = 0$ and then the equality holds in Theorem A, hence $\tilde{V}$ is compact. Moreover, as $\hat{A}_V[V] \neq 0$, we conclude that $\text{Ricci}(V, g) \equiv 0$ (see [Bou75, VIII.6.] or [KW75, Lem. 5.2.] for a proof).
- or $\inf \text{scal}(V, g) < 0$ therefore we cannot be in the equality case, that is $\inf \text{scal}(V, g) < -4\frac{n}{n-1}\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$.

We can compare this result with the Cheeger-Gromoll Theorem [CG85]. Our work proves again that a manifold (V, g) with $\text{Ricci}(V, g) \equiv 0$ and $\hat{A}_V[V] \neq 0$ has a finite fundamental group (or equivalently, has a compact universal covering).

6. PROOF OF THEOREM B

Let V^n be a closed Riemannian manifold which is enlargeable in the sense of Gromov-Lawson (see Definition 1.1).

6.1. Even dimensional case ($\dim V = n = 2m$). It is exactly the same idea as when M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson proved the obstruction result cited in the introduction for enlargeable manifolds of even dimension ([GL83, §5], [GL80]). For sake of completeness we recall the method.

To prove Theorem B in the even dimensional case, we proceed *by contradiction*. Assume that the inequality (1.1) does not hold i.e.

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) > -4\frac{n}{n-1}\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}).$$

Then Theorem A asserts that $\hat{A}_V[V] = 0$.

In order to use Lemma 4.2, we must find $(\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-graded})$ Dirac operator on V satisfying the condition of Proposition 3.2. As announced in Section 2 we will use twisted Dirac operators with unitary bundles Y . For such an operator, it is well known that $\text{ind}(\not{D}^{Y^+}) = \{\hat{A}(V) \text{ch}(Y)\}[V]$. Hence we must construct bundles Y such that $\{\hat{A}(V) \text{ch}(Y)\}[V] \neq 0$.

Fundamental construction. Let $f : V \rightarrow S^{2m}$ be a map of non-zero degree. Consider the pullback bundle Y via f of a bundle X on S^{2m} (that we will specify afterwards).

Computation of the index of those twisted Dirac operators. In the case above we can compute the Chern character of the bundle Y . We know that $\text{ch}(Y) \in H^{2*}(V)$ and $\text{ch}(Y) = f^* \text{ch}(X)$. Decomposing $\text{ch}(X) = \text{rank}(X) + \text{ch}_1(X) + \cdots + \text{ch}_m(X)$ where $\text{ch}_i(X) \in H^{2i}(S^{2m})$, as we know the cohomology of the sphere S^{2m} , we obtain

$$\text{ch}(X) = \text{rank}(X) + \text{ch}_m(X) \text{ and therefore } \text{ch}(Y) = \text{rank}(X) + f^* \text{ch}_m(X).$$

Next considering the $\widehat{A}$ -class $\widehat{A}(V) = 1 + \widehat{A}_1(V) + \cdots$ where $\widehat{A}_i(V) \in H^{4i}(V)$, we check that

$$\{\widehat{A}(V) \text{ch}(Y)\}[V] = \begin{cases} \{\text{rank}(X) \widehat{A}_{m/2}(V) + f^* \text{ch}_m(X)\}[V] & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \{f^* \text{ch}_m(X)\}[V] & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

But $\{\widehat{A}_{m/2}(V)\}[V] = \widehat{A}_V[V] = 0$, thus in the two cases

$$\{\widehat{A}(V) \text{ch}(Y)\}[V] = \deg f \{\text{ch}_m(X)\}[S^{2m}].$$

Choice of X such that the index is non-zero. We have already chosen f such that $\deg f \neq 0$. If we choose X equal to the positive half spinor bundle over S^{2m} , it is well known that $\{\text{ch}_m(X)\}[S^{2m}] \neq 0$. Therefore we have constructed the desired bundle.

Use of enlargeability. Note that, as $Y = f^*X$, we get $\|R^Y\| \leq \|df\|^2 \|R^X\|$. Using enlargeability, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a finite Riemannian spin covering $\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon$ of V and a map $f_\varepsilon : \widetilde{V}_\varepsilon \rightarrow S^{2m}$ of non-zero degree such that the bundle Y_ε constructed as above satisfies

$$\|R^{Y_\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \{\widehat{A}(\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon) \text{ch}(Y_\varepsilon)\}[\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon] \neq 0.$$

(Since the $\widehat{A}$ -genus is multiplicative under coverings, the $\widehat{A}$ -genus of V and $\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon$ are both zero.) In fact we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon^*(X) & & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{V}_\varepsilon & \xrightarrow{f_\varepsilon} & S^{2m} \\ \vdots & & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

Then we construct the twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ on $\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon$ acting on sections of the bundles $\mathcal{S} \otimes Y_\varepsilon$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_\varepsilon$ be its lift on $\widetilde{V}$. We have $\text{ind}_\Gamma(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_\varepsilon^+) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^+) = \{\widehat{A}(\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon) \text{ch}(Y_\varepsilon)\}[\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon] \neq 0$. Using Proposition 3.2, we deduce that 0 belongs to the point spectrum of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_\varepsilon$. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.2 to the manifold $\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon$ to obtain

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) = \inf \text{scal}(\widetilde{V}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{g}_\varepsilon) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{g}) + \alpha_n \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get a contradiction. Consequently the inequality (1.1) holds.

6.2. Odd dimensional case ($\dim V = n = 2m - 1$). Since Section 3.3 we know that if we use a family of Dirac operators in order to find an operator $\widetilde{D}$ on $\widetilde{V}$ such that 0 belongs to the spectrum of $\widetilde{D}$, we must satisfy Theorem 3.4: the spectral flow must be non-zero. In particular, we will look for a family of twisted Dirac operators $\mathcal{D}^{Y_u}$ such that its spectral flow is non-zero. In fact it is exactly the same idea as in the even dimensional case: we pull back a bundle from $S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1]$ to $V \times [0, 1]$. Then, the key Lemma 4.2 permits to conclude using enlargeability.

Fundamental construction. We borrow this construction from M. Atiyah in [Ati85, p. 258-259]. Let $h : S^{2m-1} \rightarrow \text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ (that we will choose later) where we take N sufficiently large. Fix a trivialization on the trivial bundle $X_0 = S^{2m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^N$ and consider h as a multiplication operator on this bundle. We denote ∇_0 the trivial connection on X_0 with respect to the fixed trivialization and $\nabla_1 = h^*\nabla_0$. Consider now the linear family of connections $\nabla_u = u\nabla_1 + (1-u)\nabla_0$ joining ∇_0 to its gauge transform ∇_1 . We also deduce a (trivial) bundle X on $S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1]$ with connection $\nabla = \nabla_u + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and a fixed trivialization.

With a map $f : V \rightarrow S^{2m-1}$ of non-zero degree we construct the corresponding family of bundles $(Y_0, A_u) = (f^*X_0, f^*\nabla_u)$ on V , the (trivial) bundle Y on $V \times [0, 1]$ with connection $A = A_u + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and the corresponding family of twisted Dirac operator $D_u = \not{D}^{Y_u}$. We want to find a family Y_u (i.e. maps f and h) such that the spectral flow is non-zero.

Computation of the spectral flow of this family. In the case of twisted Dirac operators $\not{D}^{Y_u}$ and of the operator $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \not{D}^{Y_u}$ (take care on the convention on $\mathcal{D}$, see Remark 3.6), we know that $A(1) = -\{\widehat{A}(V \times [0, 1]) \text{ch}(Y, A)\}[V \times [0, 1]]$. Moreover, thanks Lemma 3.5, the spectral flow is equal to $-A(1)$.

Let notice that, on a manifold *with boundary*, the Chern character depends on the connection. Thus even if a bundle is trivial, it can have a non-trivial Chern character.

By construction $\text{ch}(Y, A) = (f \times \text{id})^* \text{ch}(X, \nabla)$. Moreover, the fact that $\text{ch}(X, \nabla) \in H^{2*}(S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1])$ and the Künneth formula assert

$$\text{ch}(X, \nabla) = \text{rank}(X) + \text{ch}_m(X, \nabla), \text{ hence } \text{ch}(Y, A) = \text{rank}(X) + (f \times \text{id})^* \text{ch}_m(X, \nabla).$$

We have also that $\widehat{A}(V \times [0, 1]) = \widehat{A}(V) \in H^{4*}(V \times [0, 1])$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sf}(1) &= \{\widehat{A}(V \times [0, 1]) \text{ch}(Y, A)\}[V \times [0, 1]] \\ &= \{\widehat{A}(V) (\text{rank}(X) + (f \times \text{id})^* \text{ch}_m(X, \nabla))\}[V \times [0, 1]] \\ &= \text{rank}(X) \widehat{A}_V[V] + \deg f \{ \text{ch}_m(X, \nabla) \}[S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1]]. \end{aligned}$$

For an odd dimensional manifold, we always have a zero $\widehat{A}$ -genus. It remains to know how $\{ \text{ch}_m(X, \nabla) \}[S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1]]$ depends on h .

To begin, in the above fixed trivialization, the connection 1-form of $(X_0, \nabla_1 = h^*\nabla_0)$ is given by $\omega^1 = h^{-1}dh$. Thus the connection 1-form of the bundle $(X_0, \nabla_u = u\nabla_1 + (1-u)\nabla_0)$ is $\omega^u = uh^{-1}dh + (1-u)\omega_0$ but ∇_0 is trivial in the fixed trivialization hence $\omega_0 = 0$. Therefore the connection 1-form of the bundle (X, ∇) at the point $(s, u) \in S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1]$ is

$$\omega_{(s,u)} = \omega_s^u = uh^{-1}dh.$$

Now we calculate the connection 2-form of the bundle (X, ∇) remarking that $d(h^{-1}) = -h^{-1}dh h^{-1}$

$$\Omega = du \wedge h^{-1}dh - (u + u^2)h^{-1}dh \wedge h^{-1}dh.$$

Recall that a differential form representing $\text{ch}_m(X)$ is given by

$$\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^m \frac{1}{m!} \text{Tr}(\Omega^m).$$

If $h^{-1}dh$ appears an even number of times, the trace is zero. If du appears twice, the form is zero. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^m \frac{1}{m!} \text{Tr}(\Omega^m) &= C \text{Tr}((u+u^2)^{m-1} du \wedge \underbrace{h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh}_{2m-1}) \\ &= C(u+u^2)^{m-1} du \wedge \text{Tr}(h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh) \end{aligned}$$

consequently

$$\{ch_m(X, \nabla)\}[S^{2m-1} \times [0, 1]] = C \int_0^1 (u+u^2)^{m-1} du \int_{S^{2m-1}} \text{Tr}(h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh)$$

where C is a non-zero constant.

Choice of h such that the spectral flow is non-zero. We have already chosen f such that $\deg f \neq 0$ and we have trivially that $\int_0^1 (u+u^2)^{m-1} du \neq 0$. Thus we must choose h such that $\int_{S^{2m-1}} \text{Tr}(h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh) \neq 0$.

For constructing h , we begin with choosing $J_0, \dots, J_{2m}$ in $\text{Aut}(V) = \text{Gl}_{2N}(\mathbb{C})$ which satisfy the identities

$$J_\alpha J_\beta = -J_\beta J_\alpha \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } (J_\alpha)^2 = \text{Id}.$$

(N must be sufficiently large so that $\mathbb{C}^N$ is such a Clifford module, for example $N = 2^m$). Let J be $i^m J_1 J_2 \cdots J_{2m}$. As $J^2 = \text{Id}$, if we denote $V_0 = \text{Ker}(J - \text{Id})$ and $V_1 = \text{Ker}(J + \text{Id})$, we have $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, each automorphism J_α maps V_0 onto V_1 (note that $J_\alpha J = -J J_\alpha$) and $\dim V_0 = \dim V_1 = N$. We also remark that for an even number $k < m$ of distinct α_i we have $\text{Tr}((J_{\alpha_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_{2k}})|_{V_0}) = 0$. Now we define $h : S^{2m-1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_0) = \text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ by $h(x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) = J_1(x_1 J_1 + x_2 J_2 + \cdots + x_{2m} J_{2m})$ restricted to V_0 . By noticing that $d(h^{-1}) = -h^{-1}dh h^{-1}$, that $(x_1 J_1 + \cdots + x_{2m} J_{2m})^2 = \text{Id}$ and that $(J_\alpha dx_\alpha) \wedge (J_\beta dx_\beta) = (J_\beta dx_\beta) \wedge (J_\alpha dx_\alpha)$ we can prove that

$$\text{Tr}(\underbrace{h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh}_{2m-1}) = (2m-1)! N \sum_{j=1}^{2m} (-1)^j x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2m}.$$

i.e. $\text{Tr}(h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh)$ is proportional to the volume form of the sphere S^{2m-1} . We conclude that $\int_{S^{2m-1}} \text{Tr}(h^{-1}dh \wedge \cdots \wedge h^{-1}dh) \neq 0$. Moreover h define a non-zero element in $\pi_{2m-1}(\text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Use of enlargeability. It is the same as in the even dimensional case. For a family constructed as above, using the key Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) + \alpha_n \|R^{Y_{u_0}}\| \text{ for some } u_0 \in [0, 1].$$

Recall that $Y_u = f^*(X_u)$ where $f : V \rightarrow S^{2m-1}$ is of non-zero degree. Thus $\|R^{Y_u}\| \leq \|df\|^2 \|R^{X_u}\|$. But by construction, we know that $\|R^{X_u}\| \leq C$ where C is a constant independent of u . Therefore we have

$$\|R^{Y_u}\| \leq C \|df\|^2.$$

If we replace V by a Riemannian finite covering (using enlargeability), this does not change the result and we can choose f such that $\|R^{Y_u}\|$ is as small as we want, for example $\|R^{Y_u}\| \leq \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Lemma 4.2, we conclude as in the even dimensional case.

7. GENERALIZATIONS AND REMARKS

7.1. Unification of Theorem A and Theorem B. We can use a more general notion of enlargeability (see [GL83, §5&6]), more precisely:

Definition 7.1. *A closed Riemannian n -manifold (V, g) is said to be area-enlargeable in dimension p if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite Riemannian covering $\tilde{V}_\varepsilon$ of V which is spin and a C^1 -map $f_\varepsilon : \tilde{V}_\varepsilon \rightarrow S^p$ which is ε -contracting on 2-vectors (i.e. if $\|f_{*\varphi}\| \leq \varepsilon \|\varphi\|$ for all 2-vectors φ on $\tilde{V}_\varepsilon$) and of non-zero $\hat{A}$ -degree (i.e. the $\hat{A}$ -genus $\hat{A}(f^{-1}(y))$ of the inverse image of each regular value y is non-zero).*

We remark that for a closed Riemannian n -manifold (V, g) which is area-enlargeable in dimension p , we have necessary $p \equiv n \pmod{4}$. The case $p = 0$ correspond to the non-zero $\hat{A}$ -genus case. The case $p = n$ correspond to the enlargeable manifold as defined in the introduction. We use *area-contracting map* because we notice that in the proof of Theorem B we only need the contraction of 2-vectors (and not necessary of vectors themselves). Thus we can give the following unified statement:

Theorem D. *Let (V, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n . If V is area-enlargeable in dimension p , then the inequality (1.1) holds.*

7.2. On K-area. In the paper [Gro96], M. Gromov sets the notion of enlargeability in a broader perspective. He defines a Riemannian invariant, the K-area. Roughly speaking, (V, g) has a big K-area if it carries a (non trivial !) vector bundle with small curvature. More precisely:

Definition 7.2. *Let (V^{2m}, g) be a closed even-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold.*

A bundle X over V is said homologically significant if at least one characteristic (Chern) number of X does not vanish.

Let

$$C(V, g) = \inf \|R^X\|$$

be the infimum taken on all hermitian homologically significant bundles X . We define the K-area to be the inverse of this number:

$$\text{K-area}(V, g) = C(V, g)^{-1}.$$

It follows immediately that if V is enlargeable, $\text{K-area}(M, g)$ is infinite for any g . In fact the property for the K-area to be infinite is, like enlargeability, a topological property. For details on this new Riemannian invariant we refer to the original paper [Gro96, §4&5].

Then M. Gromov proves a theorem which can replace the Gromov-Lawson Theorem on enlargeable manifolds ([GL80]). It states that for a closed Riemannian spin manifold V , if $\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \geq \varepsilon^2$ then $\text{K-area}(V, g) \leq C\varepsilon^{-2}$ if V is even dimensional and $\text{K-area}(V \times S^1, g \times \text{can}) \leq C\varepsilon^{-2}$ if V is odd dimensional ; where C is a constant which depends only on the dimension of V . As a consequence: a closed even-dimensional spin Riemannian manifold of infinite K-area does not carry any metric of strictly positive scalar curvature. Therefore the proof of Theorem B can be adapted to obtain the following:

Theorem E. *Let (V, g) be a closed Riemannian spin manifold of dimension n . If V is even dimensional and $\text{K-area}(V) = \infty$ or if V is odd dimensional and $\text{K-area}(V \times S^1) = \infty$, then the inequality (1.1) holds.*

Proof. To prove this theorem, it suffices to remark that the assumptions on K-area allow to construct the desired bundles in the even dimensional as in the odd dimensional cases and then to use Lemma 4.2.

If the K-area of a closed even-dimensional manifold V is infinity, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a bundle Y_ε on V such that $\|R^{Y_\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\{\hat{A}(V) \text{ch}(Y_\varepsilon)\} \neq 0$. Afterwards, the proof is exactly the same as for an enlargeable even-dimensional manifold.

In the odd dimensional case, recall that the spectral flow only depends on Atiyah-Singer index Theorem on the compact manifold $V \times S^1$ (see Remark 3.8). Hence it suffices to replace V by $V \times S^1$ in the previous statement to obtain the result. ■

7.3. Consequences. In [Gro96, §5 $\frac{1}{2}$], M. Gromov gives the following corollary which is a very interesting non-approximation interpretation of the inequality of K. Ono (or *a fortiori* ours) and we give here a concise proof.

Corollary 7.3 (M. Gromov). *Let (V, g_0) be a closed Riemannian manifold which is area-enlargeable in dimension p and with a non amenable fundamental group. Then, there exist a constant $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(g_0) > 0$ such that g_0 admits no C^0 -approximation by C^2 -metrics g on V with $\text{scal}(V, g) \geq -\sigma_0$.*

Proof. The Riemannian manifold (V, g) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem D, consequently

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}).$$

A basis of neighbourhoods of g_0 is given by the sets $\mathcal{V}_{ab} = \{g, a^2 g_0 \leq g \leq b^2 g_0\}$ where $0 < a^2 < 1 < b^2$. Then for all $g \in \mathcal{V}_{ab}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\frac{a^n}{b^{n+2}} \lambda_k(\tilde{g}_0) \leq \lambda_k(\tilde{g}) \leq \frac{b^n}{a^{n+2}} \lambda_k(\tilde{g}_0)$ from the Courant-Fisher min-max characterization of the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator. This implies that the function $g \mapsto \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$ is continuous therefore there exists a C^0 -neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}$ of g_0 such that for all metric g in $\mathcal{V}$

$$|\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) - \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

As the fundamental group is non amenable, we know that $\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}_0) \neq 0$ ([Bro81]). Letting $\sigma_0(g_0) = 4 \frac{n}{n-1} (\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}_0) - \varepsilon) > 0$ (for ε sufficiently small) then for all metric g in $\mathcal{V}$,

$$\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \leq -4 \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) < -4 \frac{n}{n-1} (\lambda_0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}_0) - \varepsilon) = -\sigma_0(g_0).$$

Consequently we cannot approximate g_0 by a C^0 -sequence of C^2 -metrics such that $\inf \text{scal}(V, g) \geq -\sigma_0(g_0)$. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Jacques Lafontaine for his advice and encouragement. I am also grateful to Marc Herzlich for explaining the refined Kato inequality to me and for his many useful comments and careful reading of the manuscript. Finally, I would like to thank Xiaonan Ma for pointing out the paper of Bismut-Freed, as well as Gilles Carron for helpful communications. I also wish to thank M. Min-Oo for his series of lectures on K-area at the CRM (Centre de Recerca Matemàtica) during Summer 2001.

REFERENCES

- [Ati76] M. Atiyah, Elliptic operators, discrete groups and Von Neumann algebras, *Astérisque* **32-33**, *Soc. Math. France* (1976)
- [Ati85] M. Atiyah, Eigenvalue of the Dirac operator, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1111**, 251–260 (1985)
- [APS76] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi, & I.M. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry III, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, **79**, 71–99 (1976)
- [Ber88] P. Bérard, From vanishing theorems to estimating theorems: the Bochner technique revisited, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **19**, n-2, 371–406 (1988)
- [BF86] J.-M. Bismut & D. S. Freed, The analysis of elliptic families. II. Dirac operators, eta invariants, and the holonomy theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **107**, no. 1, 103–163 (1986)
- [Bou75] J.P. Bourguignon, Une stratification de l'espace des structures riemanniennes, *Compositio Math.*, **30**, 1–41 (1975)
- [Bro81] R. Brooks, The fundamental group and the spectrum of the Laplacian, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **56**, 581–598 (1981)
- [CGH00] D. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon & M. Herzlich, Refined Kato inequalities and conformal weights in Riemannian geometry, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **173**, 214–255 (2000)
- [CG71] J. Cheeger & D. Gromoll, The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **6**, 119–128 (1971)
- [CG85] J. Cheeger & M. Gromov, Bounds on the Von Neumann dimension of L^2 -cohomology and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for open manifold, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **21**, 1–34 (1985)
- [Fut93] A. Futaki, Scalar flat manifolds not admitting positive scalar curvature metrics, *Invent. Math.*, **112**, 23–29 (1993)
- [Gil84] P.B. Gilkey, Invariance theory, the heat equation and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, *Publish or Perish, Inc*, Wilmington, Delaware (USA) (1984)
- [GL80] M. Gromov & H.B. Lawson, Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group I, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **111** (2), 209–230 (1980)
- [GL83] M. Gromov & H.B. Lawson, Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **58**, 83–196 (1984)
- [Gro96] M. Gromov, Positive curvature, macroscopic dimension, spectral gaps and higher signatures, In *Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century, vol II* (New Brunswick, NJ, 1993), *progr. Math.*, pages 1–123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (1996)
- [KW75] J.L. Kazdan & F.W. Warner, Prescribing curvatures, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **27**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 309–319 (1975)
- [LM89] H.B. Lawson & M.L. Michelson, Spin geometry, *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, NJ (1989)
- [Lic63] A. Lichnerowicz, Spineurs harmoniques, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, **257**, 7–9 (1963)

- [Mat92] V. Mathai, Nonnegative scalar curvature, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, **10**, 103–123 (1992)
- [Nic99] L.I. Nicolaescu, Eta invariants of Dirac operators on circle bundles over Riemann surfaces and virtual dimensions of finite energy Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **114**, 61–123 (1999)
- [Ono88] K. Ono, The scalar curvature and the spectrum of the Laplacian of spin manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, **281**, 163–168 (1988)
- [Ram93] M. Ramachandran, Von Neumann index theorems for manifolds with boundary, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **38**, 315–349 (1993)
- [Roe98] J. Roe, Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods, second edition, *Longman*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, **395** (1998)
- [Wan89] M. Wang, Parallel spinors and parallel forms, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, **7**, 59–68 (1989)

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE CC51, UNIVERSITÉ MONTPELLIER II, LABORATOIRE
GTA, CNRS UMR 5030, F-34095 MONTPELLIER, FRANCE
E-mail address: `davaux@math.univ-montp2.fr`